

### A midnight ride

The night of April 18, 1775 word had leaked that British general Thomas Gage ordered 700 of his regulars to march from Boston to Concord, to seize a weapons cache of the rebellious colonists and arrest two Patriot leaders: Samuel Adams and John Hancock.

Silversmith Paul Revere took off on horseback to warn Lexington and Concord.

In Lexington the following day, "the shot heard 'round the world" signaled the beginning of our War for Independence.

### Broken compass

Can someone tell Bernie Sanders' campaign managers that they could be accused of false advertising?

An ad released earlier this year has surfaced again. Using the song, "America," by Simon & Garfunkel as its theme, the ad exhibits the delusion of Sanders' ideology. The song's phrase, "They all come to look for America," doesn't refer to a search for a socialist, third-world country, as Bernie's vision would achieve. Subjects in the song are looking for the America of *opportunity*, Bernie, not the land of dependency you desire, your "utopia."

America's "true north" has nothing to do with your Marxist vision, Senator Sanders. You should recalibrate your compass.

#### Monday Morning Newswire

Non-partisan conservative commentary published by Hoosier Patriots, 8329 Carolwood Court, Evansville, IN 47715-4595, each Monday morning and sent by e-mail to those who subscribe. ©2016 - All Rights Reserved.

HOOSIER PATRIOTS

Jim Bratten

Subscribe through e-mail at:  
hpnw.jimb@gmail.com



Raising a generation of ignorant citizens

## Intellectual vacancy

Many of us continue to wonder, "Can these Bernie Sanders minions be so ignorant and unaware of the death toll and societal damage wrought by Socialism and its siblings during the Twentieth Century?"

"And can they not know that Hillary Clinton plans to deliver the same thing?"

No; they do not "know." To *know* implies knowledge, which they do not have. Indoctrination does not imply knowledge.

CBS reported, in a recent poll of Americans aged 18 to 26, the results when those polled were asked the question: "*Which is the most compassionate economic system?*"

Conducted by Frank Luntz in his "Luntz on the SnapChat Generation's Shifting Attitudes" survey, the results from "The Youngest Voters" weren't too shocking. For those unaware of our government education system's indoctrination gains in the last generation: *Socialism* scored highest at 58%; *Capitalism* was next at 33%; and *Communism* last at 9%. Obviously, Communism wasn't taught, at least as an extension of Socialism, or it would certainly have scored higher in the minds of the minions.

Apparently, *Fascism* wasn't offered as a choice either. One wouldn't want to confuse the respondents with another variation of *Socialism*... then there would be more questions as to its relationship to *Communism*. Maybe Bernie Sanders could explain, since he's well versed in all three. Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton wouldn't offer any perspective on the relationship between the three tyrannical economic systems; that would blow their cover.

The followers and new adherents to Bernie's Socialism, and the respondents to the Luntz survey, are the products of a vast mis-education of the American electorate, in particular those under 30, who have been taught a false definition of "compassion" or have no clue about economic systems.

These "followers" are not only taught that a centralized system of control such as

Socialism is "compassionate," taking from others against their will to give to others who decline to exercise their will, they are indoctrinated that their own system here in the U.S., Capitalism, is an evil system lacking "compassion."

Not only do these uneducated believe that fostering dependency is somehow compassionate and that others must support this dependency from the fruits of *their* labor, giving to those who will *not* labor, they are taught that the document of law that restricts the dependency of Socialism, the Constitution, is evil at its core.

Bernie's followers are *not* taught about the Constitution and its Bill of Rights as foundational to American prosperity, allowing free-market capitalism to thrive and grow an economy that has been the envy of the world. They are *not* taught the meaning of Liberty and what free men and women can accomplish when unhampered in their private economic pursuits, through their individual liberty and personal ingenuity.

They are ignorant of the freedoms they have that allow them to aspire, and achieve, which they possess from birth, as they are of the documents that protect these freedoms. They are told that they are oppressed; but they are actually oppressed by their own ignorance, through progressive education, which teaches them that they cannot succeed without the hand of government intervention controlling their lives.

Despite softening slightly later in life, South African president Nelson Mandela recalled his Marxist roots as president of the USSR-backed African National Congress (ANC) when he stated, "*Education is the most powerful weapon which you can use to change the world.*"

We Be People



While speaking in Paris during the month of April in 1910, Theodore Roosevelt examined his thoughts on the meaning of "patriotism" and how he viewed that concept in an ever more connected world.

Even though he was beginning to develop a progressive ideology, he managed to define the importance of "patriotism" in a very straightforward way:

"I believe that a man must be a good patriot before he can be... a good citizen of the world.

"Experience teaches us that the average man who protests that his international feeling swamps his national feeling, that he does not care for his country because he cares so much for mankind, in actual practice proves himself the foe of mankind; that the man who says that he does not care to be a citizen of any one country, because he is a citizen of the world, is in very fact usually an exceedingly undesirable citizen of whatever corner of the world he happens at the moment to be in...

"If a man can view his own country and all other countries from the same level with tepid indifference, it is wise to distrust him, just as it is wise to distrust the man who can take the same dispassionate view of his wife and his mother.

"However broad and deep a man's sympathies, however intense his activities, he need have no fear that they will be cramped by love of his native land.

"Now, this does not mean in the least that a man should not wish to do good outside of his native land. On the contrary, just as I think the man who loves his family is more apt to be a good neighbor than the man who does not, so I think that the most useful member of the family of nations is normally a strongly patriotic nation."

So globalism is nothing new, as we see Teddy Roosevelt recognizing the nascent eruption of a "family of nations" after the turn of the 19th century to the 20th. Roosevelt addressed the importance of patriotism in a world context and was very direct in his *clear* understanding of the concept of "patriot" and "world citizen," and the *difference*. It can be that way today – IF we *teach* patriotism.



Transforming our military into a new 'peace corps'

## Purging our warriors

Welcome to the Barack Obama Military – politically correct to the core with a social engineering directive; under-funded fighting forces surviving on fumes for jet fuel (extremely expensive, "green" bio-fuel), borrowed aircraft parts, shortages of practice rounds, anemic pay scales with shrinking benefits, and spiritual disarmament. Demoralization in the ranks of our fighting forces is becoming commonplace.

The president left Iraq on December 18, 2011 and components of the future Islamic State moved into the vacuum. The Obama-inspired "Arab Spring" was planted and an anti-Western tsunami managed by the Muslim Brotherhood and its various jihadist offshoots washed across the Middle East, buoyed by Obama's policies.

Our imperial president, however, has always been preoccupied with the social engineering possibilities in a new, "transformed military."

His "new moral authority" turned out to have nothing to do with "moral" anything. "Don't ask, don't tell" has been replaced with acceptance of personal proclivities at any price. Military readiness, cohesion, and survivability of combat units are of secondary concern, sacrificed for self-esteem on the altar of Me. The avoidance of any perceived offense, however insignificant or irrelevant, is the prime directive. Social engineering gymnastics are now the order of the day in the Army of One.

As part of the new gender sensitivity curriculum male ROTC cadets at one academic institution were forced to walk around in women's high heels so they could "relate" – I'm uncertain any other feminine attire was required for the exercise.

The forced secularization of the military, with its moral implications and spiritual distress, is causing armed forces personnel to reconsider reenlistment. God is being removed from the military culture to be replaced, as with all else, by the State. Forget about the old adage, "There are no atheists in fox holes." Atheists are now camped out in the Pentagon so sermons of chaplains can be checked for content.

The age-old reasons for "why we fight" are no longer taught. Standards are lowered or modified; geared to the lowest common denominator, as in government education, not toward a high achievement standard. In President Obama's military the new level for performance is "good enough." Social, biological and psychological criteria unimportant in military applications or deployment situations are now SOP (Standard Operating Procedure).

New "Rules of Engagement," which endanger the lives of our armed personnel exponentially, are another hazard, tying the hands of our combat forces until a lawyer gives the "go."

A once effective "warrior culture" has been purged through "social experimentation." The new U.S. military is now a petri dish for cultural change and a "can't we all just get along" foreign policy.

U.S. Armed Forces, sent to Africa during the Ebola scare as a new extension of the Peace Corps, gave the unmistakable message to Islamist groups: Osama bin Laden's epithets against the U.S. "paper tiger" were true. Perception *is* everything.

The final straw, however, and green light to Islamists everywhere, was the directive from the White House's DOD on December 3, 2015: All restrictions to women serving in combat roles, even special forces, was removed.

Even though in combat men and women are *not* interchangeable and, in the military, there is no such thing as "gender neutral," the directive will proceed, devoid of all common sense and concern for national security and human lives that will be lost. The fact that 92.5% of female Army personnel surveyed wanted no part in combat had no effect whatsoever. The theory of the progressive academics prevailed.

In the Obama Regime, ideology wins. Just ask the wounded survivors at Ft. Hood.

**Enough!**  
READ THE CONSTITUTION